IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR

THURSDAY, THE 1ST MARCH 2007 / 10TH PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 6969 of 2007(D)

PETITIONERS:

1. **CHELLAMMA, W/O. LATE RAMAKRISHNAN NAIR, RAJESH BHAVAN, KAVUMBHAGOM POST, CHERUVALLY KARA AND VILLAGE, KANJIRAPPALLY TALUK.**

2. **RAJAPPANANCHARI, S/O.KRISHNAN ACHARI, MUNJANATTU PUTHENVETTIL, KAVUMBHAGOM POST, CHERUVALLY KARA AND VILLAGE, KANJIRAPPALLY TALUK.**

3. **M.M.JOSEPH, S/O.MATHEN @ M.M.MATHEW, MANJAKKAL HOUSE, KAVUMBHAGOM POST, CHERUVALLY KARA AND VILLAGE, KANJIRAPPALLY TALUK.**

BY ADV. SRI.P.KURUVILLA JACOB

RESPONDENTS:

1. **THE KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD, REP.BY ITS SECRETARY, VAIDHUTHI BHAVAN, PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**

2. **EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, K.S.E.B. MAJOR SECTION, PONKUNNAM, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.**

3. **THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, K.S.E.B MAJOR SECTION, PONKUNNAM, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.**

4. **THE ASSISTANT ENGINEER, K.S.E.B MAJOR SECTION, PONKUNNAM , KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.**

5. **KUNJUMON ALIAS SAJIMON, CONTRACTOR, KOLLAKUZHYIL HOUSE, CHERUVALLY POST AND VILLAGE, KANJIRAPPALLY TALUK.**

6. **THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR , KOTTAYAM.**

7. **THE DEPUTY COLLECTOR(GENERAL) AND ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MAGISTRATE, KOTTAYAM.**

BY ADV.SRI.JOSE J. MATHEIKAL, SC., KSEB
BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.N.SUDHADEVI

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 01/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, J.

W.P.(C).No.6969 of 2007-D

Dated this the Ist day of March, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioners are aggrieved by the omission of the Additional District Magistrate, Kottayam to dispose of Exts.P15A and P17A representations filed by them. But, there are directions from this court in Exts.P15 and P16 to dispose of those representations by causing an enquiry through the Village Officer and getting a report. If those directions are not obeyed, a second writ petition seeking further direction to the Additional District Magistrate to dispose of those representations is not maintainable. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed, without prejudice to the contentions of the petitioners and their right to enforce the directions of this court, contained in Exts.P15 and P16 judgments.

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, JUDGE.

MS